

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,045	1	1/25/2003	Jan Cornelius De Jong	7682-108-999	8309
20583	7590	10/05/2006		EXAMINER .	
JONES DA			HILL, MYRON G		
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1648	
				DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/722,045	DE JONG ET AL.				
		Examiner					
. *			Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Myron G. Hill	1648				
Period fo		appears on the cover sheet with	ule correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	November 2003.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	•				
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 2 and 48-61 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 2 and 48-61 are subject to restriction	rawn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square a						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
,	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examinor. Note the diagnost of					
	•		40() ()) (0				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (t).				
a)ı		ents have been received					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
	application from the International Bure	•	.				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not rec	ceived.				
	•						
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		lail Date mal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	••				

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 2, drawn to an isolated virus corresponding to to the genus Metapneumovirus, classified in class 435, subclass 235.1.
- II. Claims 48-61, drawn to a method to detect or monitor metapneumovirus, classified in class 435, subclass 5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to an unrelated product and process. Product and process inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that the product cannot be used in, or made by, the process. See MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06. In the instant case, the virus is not used in the assay and it is not make by the assay.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the sequences listed in claims 59-61. The species are independent or distinct because each is drawn to a different structure. The claims are a two tier genus-species. Claims 48-58 are generic, claims 59-61 each represent a different type of detection, and within each type of detection there is a sequence. The recited sequences have different

Application/Control Number: 10/722,045

Art Unit: 1648

structures one from other and the search for the sequences would be unduly burdensome. This requirement is not to be construed as a requirement for an election of species, since each of the sequence(s) recited constitutes an <u>independent and patentably distinct invention.</u>

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 48-58 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 571-272-0901. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5 pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner

9/29/06

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Bun Campell